#### Qtune : A query-aware database tuning system with deep reinforcement learning

연세대학교 컴퓨터과학과 염찬호 2022년 3월



#### 과제명: IoT 환경을 위한 고성능 플래시 메모리 스토리지 기반 인메모리 분산 DBMS 연구개발 과제번호: 2017-0-00477







### Index

- INTRODUCTION
- SYSTEM OVERVIEW
- QUERY FEATURIZATION
- DRL FOR KNOB TUNING
- QUERY CLUSTERING
- EXPERIMENT

# Index

### INTRODUCTION

- SYSTEM OVERVIEW
- QUERY FEATURIZATION
- DRL FOR KNOB TUNING
- QUERY CLUSTERING
- EXPERIMENT

# Traditional tuning (DBA)

- Limitation
  - DBAs can only tune a small percentage of the knobs and may not find a good global knob configuration
  - DBAs require to spend a lot of time
  - DBAs are usually good at tuning a only specific database
  - → These limitations are extremely severe for tuning cloud databases, because they have to tune a lot of database instances on different environments (e.g., different CPU, RAM and disk).

- BestConfig
- OtterTune
- CDBTune

- BestConfig
  - heuristic method to search for the optimal configuration from the history and may not find good knob values if there is no similar configuration in the history

#### OtterTune

- machine-learning techniques to collect, process and analyze knobs and tunes the database by learning DBAs' experiences from the historical data
- relies on a large number of high-quality training examples from DBAs' experience data, which are rather hard to obtain

### CDBTune

- deep reinforcement learning (DRL) to tune the database by using a try-and error strategy
- has 3 limitations

# **CDBTune - limitation**

- First
  - CDBTune **requires** to run a SQL query workload **multiple times** in the database to get an appropriate configuration, which is rather time consuming

#### Second

• CDBTune only provides a **coarse-grained tuning** (i.e., tuning for read-only workload, read-write workload, write-only workload), but cannot provide a fine-grained tuning (i.e., tuning for a specific query workload).

#### • Third

 it directly uses the existing DRL model, which assumes that the environment can only be affected by reconfiguring actions, but **cannot utilize** the **query information**, which is more important for configuration tuning and environment updates.

### Proposed model (Qtune)

- Step
  - first featurizes the SQL queries by considering rich features of the SQL queries(query type, tables, and query cost)
  - Then feeds the **query features into the DRL** model to dynamically choose suitable configurations

### Index

- INTRODUCTION
- SYSTEM OVERVIEW
- QUERY FEATURIZATION
- DRL FOR KNOB TUNING
- QUERY CLUSTERING
- EXPERIMENT

- Query-level Tuning
- Workload-level Tuning
- Cluster-level Tuning



- Query-level Tuning
  - For each query, it first tunes the database knobs and then executes the query
  - can optimize the latency(=low latency)
  - but may not achieve high throughput.

• Because query-level tuning cannot run ths SQL queries in parallel



- Workload-level Tuning
  - It tunes the database knobs for the whole query workload
  - cannot optimize the query latency
  - can achieve high throughput
  - Because it cannot find a good configuration for every SQL query



- Cluster-level Tuning
  - It partitions the queries into different groups
  - Next it **tunes the knobs for each query group** and executes the queries in each group in parallel. This method can optimize both the latency and throughput.
  - Because it can find the good configuration for a group of queries and run the queries in each group in parallel

### Index

- INTRODUCTION
- SYSTEM OVERVIEW
- QUERY FEATURIZATION
- DRL FOR KNOB TUNING
- QUERY CLUSTERING
- EXPERIMENT



## 3.1 Query Information

- SQL query
  - <u>Query type</u>(e.g., insert, delete, select, update), <u>table</u>, <u>attributes</u>, <u>operations</u>(e.g., selection, join, groupby)
- Query type different query types have different query cost
- Tables data volumes and structures of tables will significantly affect the database performance

### 3.1 Query Information

- Note that we do not featurize the attributes (i.e., columns) and operations (i.e., selection conditions) due to three reasons.
- First, the query cost will capture the operation information and cost, and we do not need to maintain duplicated information.
- Second, operations are too specific and adding specific operations into the ٠ vectors will reduce the generalization ability.
- Third, the attributes and operations will be frequently updated and it requires to redesign the model for the updates.
- Query information  $\rightarrow$  4 + |T| dimensional vector In 4 : query types, (e.g., insert, sele**ci, up**dat [ 0
  - |T|:table

	(1)	DML			(2)	Tab	les	
0	0	0	1	1	1	1		0
iser	t Delete	Update	e Select	tbl1	tbl2	tbl	3	tbl8

### 3.2 Cost Information

- utilize the query plan generated by the query optimizer, which has a cost estimation for each operation.
- Fig3 is the vector of a SQL query.



### 3.3 Character Encoding

- To tune the database for this query workload, we need to combine the vectors together
- concatenate the query vector and cost vector to generate an overall vector of a query
- for each query vector, we need to consider all the query types and tables, and thus we compute the union of the query vectors.
- And for each table, if the value is 1, we replace it with the row number of the table. Thus it can capture the actions like deleting/inserting rows and improve system's adaptivity
- for cost vector, we need to sum up all the costs

]	Insert	Delete 1	U <mark>pd</mark> ate	Select	tbl1	tbl2	tbl3	. tbl8	Hash_Join	Seq_Scan	Aggregate	
[	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	. 0	0.1401	-0.166	-0.2423	]

### Index

- INTRODUCTION
- SYSTEM OVERVIEW
- QUERY FEATURIZATION
- DRL FOR KNOB TUNING
- QUERY CLUSTERING
- EXPERIMENT

### DRL FOR KNOB TUNING

- Since there are hundreds of knobs in a database and many of them are in continuous space, the database tuning problem is NP hard and it is rather expensive to find highquality configurations.
- We utilize the deep reinforcement learning model, which combines reinforcement learning and neural networks to automatically learn the knob values from limited samples.
- existing DRL models cannot utilize the query features as they ignore the effects to the environment state from the query, and we propose a Double-State Deep Deterministic Policy Gradient (DS-DDPG) model to enable query-aware tuning

#### Table 1: Mapping from DS-DDPG to Tuning

DS-DDPG	The tuning problem
Environment	Database being tuned
Inner state	Database knobs (e.g., work_mem)
Outer metrics	State statistics (e.g., updated tuples)
Action	Tuning database knobs
Reward	Database performance changes
Agent	The Actor-Critic networks
Predictor	A neural network for predicting metrics
Actor	A neural network for making actions
Critic	A neural network for evaluating Actor

- Environment
  - contains the <u>database information</u>, which includes the inner state (i.e., knob configurations) and the outer metrics (e.g., database key performance indicators).
- Query2Vector
  - generates the feature vector for a given query (or a workload).

- Environment
  - contains the <u>database information</u>, which includes the inner state (i.e., knob configurations) and the outer metrics (e.g., database key performance indicators).

#### Query2Vector

• generates the feature vector for a given query (or a workload).

#### Predictor

- is a deep neural network, which predicts <u>the changes in outer metrics (ΔS)</u> of before/after processing the queries.
- observation S' = S +  $\Delta S$  (S: original metrics)

#### • Agent

 is used to <u>tune the inner state based on the observation S</u>'. Agent contains two modules, Actor and Critic, which are two independent neural networks.

#### Actor

- takes S' as input, and outputs an action (a vector of tuned knob configurations).
   Environment executes the query workload and computes a reward based on the performance.
- updates the weights of its neural network based on the Q-value

#### Critic

- takes the observation S' and the action as input, and outputs a score (Q-value), which reflects whether the action tuning is effective.
- updates the weights of its neural network based on the reward value.







Step 3 Query 실행 후의 Outer metrics 값 계산

 $S' = S + \Delta S$ 

- S: 기존의 Outer metrics 값, query 작업 진행하기 전의 Outer metrics 값
- Δ*S*: query 작업을 진행하기 전과 후의 Outer metrics 차이값
- *S*' : 기존 Outer metrics 값에 query 작업을 진행한 결과가 반영 된 값







# 4.2 Training DS-DDPG

- 4.2.1 Training the Predictor
- 4.2.2 Training the Actor-Critic Module

Algorithm 1: Training DS-DDPG

- **Input:** U: the query set  $\{q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_{|U|}\}$ **Output:**  $\pi_P, \pi_A, \pi_C$
- **1** Generate training data  $T_P$ ;
- **2** TrainPredictor( $\pi_P, T_P$ );
- **3** Generate training data  $T_A$ ;
- 4 TrainAgent $(\pi_A, \pi_C, T_A)$ ;

# 4.2.1 Training the Predictor

- Predictor aims to predict the database metrics change if processing a query in the database.
   T<sub>P</sub> = {< v, S, I, ΔS >}
- For each < v, S, I >, we train Predictor to output a value that is close to  $\Delta S$
- *v* : a vector of a query
- S: the outer metrics
- *I* : inner state
- $\Delta S$  : the outer metrics change
- G: the output value by Predictor for query q<sup>i</sup>,
- U: the query set.
- E:error function

Function TrainPredictor( $\pi_P, T_P$ )Input:  $\pi_P$ : The weights of a neural network;  $T_P$ :<br/>The training set1 Initiate the weights in  $\pi_P$ ;2 while !converged do3466Compute gradient  $\nabla_{\theta_s}(E)$ , update weights in  $\pi_P$ ;

 $\Delta S$ 

# 4.2.2 Training the Actor-Critic Module

- The agent (the Actor-Critic module) aims to judiciously tune the database configurations
- 1) We generate its feature vector in via Query2Vector
- 2) Predict a database metrics  $S'_1$  via Predictor
- 3) Get an action  $A_1$  via Actor
- 4) Deploy the actions in the database
- 5) Run the database to get reward  $R_1$
- In the next step, we get a new database metrics  $S'_2$  by updating  $S'_1$ using the new metrics, and repeat The above steps to get  $A_2$  and  $R_2$ Until the average reward value is good enough(the average reward of ten runs is larger than 10)  $T_P^1 = \langle (S'_1, A_1, R_1), (S'_2, A_2, R_2), \dots, (S'_t, A_t, R_t) \rangle$

### 4.2.2 Training the Actor-Critic Module

```
Function TrainAgent(\pi_A, \pi_C, T_A)
  Input: \pi_A: The actor's policy; \pi_C: The critic's
            policy; T_A: training data
1 Initialize the actor \pi_A and the critic \pi_C;
2 while !converged do
       Get a training data
3
        T_A^1 = (S_1', A_1, R_1), (S_2', A_2, R_2), \dots, (S_t', A_t, R_t);
      for i = t - 1 to 1 do
\mathbf{4}
           Update the weights in \pi_A with the
5
            action-value Q(S'_i, A_i | \pi_C);
           Estimate an action-value
6
          Y_{i} = R_{i} + \tau Q(S'_{i+1}, \pi_{A}(S'_{i+1}|\theta^{\pi_{A}})|\pi_{C});
           Update the weights in \pi_C by minimizing the
7
            loss value L = (Q(S'_i, A_t | \pi_C) - Y_i)^2;
```

### Index

- INTRODUCTION
- SYSTEM OVERVIEW
- QUERY FEATURIZATION
- DRL FOR KNOB TUNING
- QUERY CLUSTERING
- EXPERIMENT

### **5.1 Configuration Pattern**

- DS-DDPG to generate a continuous knob configuration and take the knob configuration as the pattern
- → But It is expensive to get the continuous knob values, approximate patterns are good enough to cluster the queries
- So we discretize the continuous values into discrete values (i.e. {-1, 0, +1})

→Using Deep Learning (input : feature vector, output : pattern)



# 5.2 Query Clustering

- After gaining the suitable configuration pattern for each query, we classify the queries into different clusters based on the similarity of these patterns
- we take DBSCAN(Density-based spatial clustering of applications with noise) as a clustering algorithms

### Index

- INTRODUCTION
- SYSTEM OVERVIEW
- QUERY FEATURIZATION
- DRL FOR KNOB TUNING
- QUERY CLUSTERING
- EXPERIMENT

Database	Knobs without restart	State Metrics
PostgreSQL	64	19
MySQL	260	63
MongoDB	70	515

 Table 2: Database information

Table 3: Workloads. RO, RW and WO denote readonly, read-write and write-only respectively.

Name	Mode	Table	Cardinality	Size(G)	Query
JOB	RO	21	74,190,187	13.1	113
TPC-H	RO	8	158,157,939	50.0	22
Sysbench	RO, RW	3	4,000,000	11.5	474,000

Table 4: The number of training samples for the DL model in query clustering, the Predictor and the Actor-Critic module in DS-DDPG.

Name	Sysbench	JOB	TCP-H
DL	3792	8000	40,000
Predictor	3792	8000	40,000
Actor-Critic	1500	480	300



Figure 6: Performance by increasing knobs in Important First (IF) and Randomly Choosing (RC) respectively when running Sysbench (RO) on PostgreSQL.

Randomly Choosing : We permute the knobs in a random way. If we tune k knobs, we select the first k knobs.
 Important first : We sort the knobs based on their importance (e.g., which knobs were tuned more in the query workload).



#### E1: uses query type, tables, costs

### E2 : uses query type, tables, costs, attributes, operations



(g) Sysbench (RW)

(h) JOB (RO)

(i) TPC-H (RO)





(b) MySQL (c) MongoDB Figure 10: Performance for different databases.

46

### Proposed model (Qtune)

- (1) We propose a query-aware database tuning system using deep reinforcement learning, which provides three database tuning granularities
- (2) We propose a SQL query featurization model that featurizes a SQL query to a vector by using rich SQL features
- (3) We propose the **DS-DDPG model**, which embeds the query features and utilizes the actor-critic algorithm to learn the relations among queries, database state and configurations to tune database configurations
- (4) We propose a **deep learning based query clustering method** to classify queries according to the similarity of their suitable configurations

 $V^*$ 

 Query2Vector generates a feature vector for each query in the workload and merges them to generate a unfied vector.(→ V\*)



# 튜닝시 DB 직접 실행

- it first tunes the database knobs and **then executes the query.**
- the session-level knobs (e.g., bulk write size) can be concurrently tuned for different queries, while the system-level knobs (e.g., working memory size) cannot be concurrently tuned because when we tune these knobs for a query, the system cannot process other queries.

# 튜닝시 DB 직접 실행



Database	Featurization	Tuner	Vector2Pattern	Clustering	Recommendation	Execution	Overhead
MySQL	$9.37 \mathrm{ms}$	$2.23 \mathrm{ms}$	$0.29 \mathrm{\ ms}$	$1.64 \mathrm{\ ms}$	$4.36 \mathrm{ms}$	0.45 s - 262.9 s	3.8 % - 0.0068 %
PostgreSQL	9.46 ms	2.38  ms	$0.39 \mathrm{\ ms}$	$2.51 \mathrm{ms}$	$5.01 \mathrm{ms}$	0.46 s - 263.3 s	4.1 % - 0.0075 %
MongoDB	$13.48 \mathrm{\ ms}$	2.16  ms	$0.36 \mathrm{\ ms}$	$2.32 \mathrm{\ ms}$	$4.31 \mathrm{ms}$	0.63 s - 264.5 s	3.5~% - $0.0085~%$

Table 5: Time distribution of queries in JOB (RO) benchmark on MySQL, PostgreSQL and MongoDB respectively. Execution is the range of time the database executes a query. Overhead is the percentage of tuning in the total time for a query.

# Query plan, query optimizer

• DBMS에 내장된 optimizer를 통해 계산(selection cost, join cost)

https://www.oracle.com/search/results?Ntt=query%20plan&Dy=1&Nty=1&cat=mysql&Ntk=SI-ALL5 https://www.postgresql.org/docs/10/using-explain.html https://docs.mongodb.com/manual/core/query-plans/

### Predictor의 outer metrics

• Database의 metric을 의미 (e.g., latency, throughput)

### Experiment

- As restarting database is not acceptable in many real business applications, here we only **use the knobs that do not need to restart databases**.
- MongoDB is a document-oriented NoSQL Database. It uses json format queries rather than SQL. To run a SQL benchmark, we convert the data sets into json documents before injecting them into the database and transforms the SQL queries to json format queries.
- use three query workloads JOB, TPC-H and Sysbench.

- http://initd.org/psycopg,scikit-learn.org,numpy.org
- https://www.mongodb.com/
- https://github.com/gregrahn/join-order-benchmark
- http://www.tpc.org/tpch/
- https://github.com/akopytov/sysbench