GPTuner: A Manual-Reading Database Tuning System via GPT-Guided Bayesian Optimization

연세대학교 컴퓨터과학과 권세인 2024년 9월



과제명: IoT 환경을 위한 고성능 플래시 메모리 스토리지 기반 인메모리 분산 DBMS 연구개발 과제번호: 2017-0-00477





GPTUNER: A Manual-Reading Database Tuning System via GPT-Guided Bayesian Optimization

Jiale Lao Sichuan University solidlao.jiale@gmail.com

Jianping Wang Northwest Normal University 2022222119@nwnu.edu.cn

Wanghu Chen Northwest Normal University chenwh@nwnu.edu.cn Yibo Wang Sichuan University wangyibo.cs@gmail.com

Yunjia Zhang University of Wisconsin-Madison yunjia@cs.wisc.edu

> Mingjie Tang* Sichuan University tangrock@gmail.com

Yufei Li Sichuan University liyufeievangeline@gmail.com

> Zhiyuan Cheng Purdue University cheng443@purdue.edu

> Jianguo Wang Purdue University csjgwang@purdue.edu

International Conference on Very Large DataBases (VLDB 2024)



Limitation

- Previous studies still **require hundreds to thousands iterations** to reach an ideal configuration, such high tuning costs stem form their inefficiency in handling.
- Fixed subset of parameters, sacrificing the flexibility to choose workload-relevant parameters, or execute workloads numerous times to identity important parameters.
- There are typical value ranges summarized for knobs.

Table 1: Tuning Knowledge Utilization

Knob	shared_buffers	random_page_cost
Default Range	[0.125MB, 8192 GB]	$[0, 1.79769 \times 10^{308}]$
Guidance	"shared_buffers" can be	"random_page_cost" can be
	25% of the RAM but no more	1.x if disk has a speed similar
	than 40%[39]	to SSDs [41]
DBA	The machine has a 16 GB	The machine uses SSDs
	RAM. Thus we can set	as disks. Thus we can set
	"shared_buffers" from 16	"random_page_cost" to a
	$GB \times 25\% = 4 GB$ to 16 $GB \times$	value from 1.0 to 2.0 .
	40% = 6.4 GB.	
Improved Range	[4 GB, 6.4 GB]	[1.0, 2.0]



Motivation



* "s.g.t." means "suggested" and "d.f.t." means "default", "o" means some approach handles this value manually

- ① Extensive tuning knowledge helps, but not well-exploited. (Left part)
- ② LLM is a notable step forward, but not adequate yet. (Middle part)
- ③ The lack of a knowledge-aware optimization framework. (Right part)



Contribution

- ① GPTuner, a novel **manual-reading database tuning system** that leverages domain knowledge automatically and extensively to enhance the knob tuning process.
- ② Develop an LLM-based pipeline to collect and refine domain knowledge, and propose a prompt ensemble algorithm to unify a structured view of the refined knowledge.
- ③ Workload-aware and trining-free knob selection strategy, develop an optimization method for the value range of each knob, and propose a Coase-to-Fine Bayesian Optimization framework to explore the optimized space.



Method



- ① User provides the DBMS to be tuned the target workload, and the optimization objective.
- ② GPTuner collects and refines the knowledge from different source to **construct Tuning Lake**.
- ③ Unifies the refined tuning knowledge from Tuning Lake into a **structured view** accessible to machines.
- ④ GPTuner reduces the search space dimensionality by **selecting important knobs** to tune.



Method



- ⑤ GPTuner optimizes the search space in terms of the value range for each knob based on structured knowledge.
- 6 GPTuner explores the optimized space via a novel Coarse-to-Fine Bayesian Optimization framework.
- ⑦ Identifies satisfactory knob configurations within resource limits.



Method – Knowledge Handler



Knowledge Preparation

① Extracting knowledge from LLM.

GPT is trained on a vast corpus related to database, GPT itself is an informative manual and allows to retrieve the knowledge through prompt.

② Filtering noisy knowledge.

With candidate tuning knowledge and an official system view, LLM evaluates whether the tuning knowledge conflicts with the system view and **discard any knowledge that does conflict**.



• Method – Knowledge Handler



- Knowledge Preparation
 - ③ Summarizing knowledge from various resources.

To handle conflict knowledge from different resource, **setting priority** for each information source based on its reliavbility. Then summarize the non-contradictory guidance and delete the content with low priority for the contradictory parts.



• Method – Knowledge Handler



- Knowledge Tranformation
 - ✓ Converts unstructured tuning knowledge into **structured knowledge** for machine learning models.
 - Defines attributes (e.g., suggested_values, min_value, max_value) for each parameter with few-shots learning.
 - ✓ Enhances tuning efficiency by narrowing search space and including special cases.



Method – Knowledge-Based Search Space Optimizer



- Dimensionality Optimization
 - ✓ System-Level : Optimizes global DBMS settings (e.g., memory, caching policies).
 - ✓ Workload Level: Parameters based on workload type (e.g., OLTP vs. OLAP).
 - ✓ Query Level: Adjusts parameters based on query execution plans for fine-grained optimization.







Method – Knowledge-Based Search Space Optimizer

- Range Optimization
 - Region Discard with 'structured knowledge' to refine the value range of each parameter to improve tuning efficiency.
 - (2) Tiny Feasible Space (*U: max or min value, V: optimized value, \beta : Scale factor*) $\alpha = 1 + \frac{\beta}{v} (U - V), \ \beta \in \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \mid r_i \in [0, 1]\}$
 - ③ Virtual Knob Extension about the special parameters value.





Method – Configuration Recommender

- Coarse-to-Fine Bayesian Optimization
 - ① Coarse-grained Stage : Explore part of the whole space (*Tiny Feasible Space*) and train surrogate model. This output is non-optimal but promising results in practice, owing to the guidance of domain knowledge.
 - ② Fine-grained Stage : Explore the space thoroughly just apply *Region Discard* and *Virtual Knob Extension*.



Experiments – Performance Comparison (PostgreSQL, MySQL)



Figure 4: Best performance over iterations on PostgreSQL



Figure 5: Best performance over iterations on MySQL

- GPTuner rapidly achieves significant performance improvement and reaches near optimal latency with only 20 iterations in terms of TPC-H benchmark.
- GPTuner significantly reduces the latency at the very beginning, surpassing the best performance achieved by all other baselines within 100 iterations.
- GP and SMAC fail to have considerable performance improvement, because the default value ranges are excessively broad, making the optimizers struggle to explore the vast search space.





Experiments – Scalability Study

Figure 6: Effect of Database Size on Tuning Performance (bottom-left is better)



 GPTuner learns such experience directly from domain knowledge rather than through iterative trial and error.



Figure 8: Effect of Space Dimensionality on Tuning Performance (bottom-left is better)

- GPTuner consistently showcases the best performance in all space sizes.
- Other baselines perform well in low-dimensional case, their performance deteriorated in high-dimensional cases.



Thank You for Listening